

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
09/788,402	02/21/2001	Rayner Brondrup	3842-7	3842-7 5430	
23117	7590 12/16/2003		EXAMINER		
NIXON & VANDERHYE, PC			OUELLETTE, JONATHAN P		
1100 N GLEBE ROAD 8TH FLOOR			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ARLINGTO	N, VA 22201-4714		3629	3629	
			DATE MAILED: 12/16/2003		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No. Applicant(s)		
Advisory Action	09/788,402	BRONDRUP, RAYNER	
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Jonathan Ouellette	3629	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the o	correspondence address	
THE REPLY FILED 12 November 2003 FAILS TO PLAC Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this application a timely filed amendment which	ation. A proper reply to a h places the application in	
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
 a)	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin	g date of the final rejection.	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 C	of extension and the corresponding amount the shortened statutory period for reply be later than three months after the mai	ount of the fee. The appropriate extension originally set in the final Office action; or	
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF			
$2. \boxtimes$ The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:		
(a) X they raise new issues that would require further	er consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note b	elow);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or simplifying the	
(d) they present additional claims without canceling	ng a corresponding number of f	inally rejected claims.	
NOTE: See Continuation Sheet.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following reject	ion(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	eparate, timely filed amendment	
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for application in condition for allowance because:		dered but does NOT place the	
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY t	to issues which were newly	
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims we			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:		ses. L	
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 11-20. JOHN G. WEISS SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:	TECHNO	LOGY CENTER 3600	
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) appr			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemer	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).		
10. Other:	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		

Continuation of 2. NOTE: The applicant's amendment cancelled the previously rejected claims 11-20 and added new claims 21-31, wherein the steps in the new independent claims are now completed automatically.